

Guam Board of Examiners for Pharmacy
Regular Board Meeting
Wednesday, December 18, 2019 – 7:30 a.m.

Members Present:		Others Present:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thomas Jerry Caruso, Chairperson <input type="checkbox"/> Lourdes Phillips, Vice Chairperson <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Angelina Eustaquio, Secretary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Racquel Sperrazzo, Member <input type="checkbox"/> Gary Roy, Member		Rob Weinberg (AG Ofc.) Zennia Pecina (HPLO) Marie Baletto-Sherwin (HPLO)		
TOPIC	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	REPORTING TIMEFRAME	ACTION TAKEN
I. Call To Order	Called to order at 8:08 a.m. Adjourned from December 12, 2019 scheduled board meeting. No quorum met. Notices posted on doors.	Chairperson		Quorum Met
II. Proof of Publication	Guam Daily Post - Published on 12/4/19 and 12/10/19 Guam PDN – Published on 12/3/19	HPLO		Confirmed
III. Approval of Agenda	Jerry had a request from Senator Terlaje’s office to review recommendation by Pharmacist James Bui. Added to new business. Concerns recommended change to definition of intern in Guam law. Angie supports adding to New Business. Motion to approve today’s agenda as amended to include Pharmacist James Bui (M: RS; S: AE). Approved			
IV. Review and Approval of Minutes	Some time was spent reviewing minutes from 8/15/19, 9/27/19, 10/2/19, and 11/7/19. 8/15 - Jerry asked if there were any adjustments to 8/15 minutes. No adjustments. Motion to approve 8/15 meeting minutes as written. (M: RS; S: AE) Approved 9/27 - #32 Landmark Distributor. Pharmacy number is different. Jacket was pulled and number is PHW021, but listed as NRPCY027. Under New Business, Pharmacy/ Facilities, Renewals several corrections: Landmark nrpcy037 incorrect, correction made to be nrpcy021 and Midwest Vet Supply correct			

<p>Review and Approval of Minutes Cont.</p>	<p>number is PHW013. Motion to accept minutes with two additions for changes. (M: R. Sperrazzo; S: A. Eustaquio). Approved 10/2 – reconvened meeting. Jerry asked if anything was done with Diplomat pharmacy. Marie contacted Mark at Diplomat and confirmed with him that no application was ever received. Mark will submit. Jerry asked if there were any changes or adjustments to the minutes as printed. Raquel said on Page 8, section regarding Pharmacist ratio. Her conclusion was that we would hold off. Jerry said that Eva had spoken with pharmacist at ExpressMed 1 in Dededo and sat with them to discuss who was in which area and they be seen by pharmacist. Jerry said that they rescinded their request to increase their number of non-pharmacy staff in the pharmacy. Jerry said that Eva gave her report. Jerry said that if there are no changes to the 10/2 minutes could we have a motion to approve as written. (M: RS; S: AE) Approved as written. 11/7 – Jerry asked if any changes. Angie said that she wasn't at the meeting and most of her changes were just spelling: Page 2, in middle of paragraph, should be "USP 800", instead of "USB 800". Page 8, "betted" should be "vetted". Jerry asked for motion to accept 11/7 meeting minutes as amended. (M: RS; S: AE)</p>		
<p>V Old Business</p>	<p>A. Rules and Regs: 1. Proposed law for immunizing Jerry asked if that was sent to the legislature. Rob asked if the board was satisfied with the final version. Jerry said he believed that it was final and that he recalls it was sent to the Guam Pharmacist Association. Raquel said that she recalls sending a draft to them earlier this year, April, for comment and a copy to the medical board. And haven't heard back from either and has since revised the draft possibly two more times. Jerry asked if we still need a public hearing. Rob said that the board has done this before so you follow exactly the same process. Set up a public hearing, publication of notice, do an economic impact statement and if it doesn't hit \$500,000, you then do a different document that says we don't have to do an economic impact statement cause it's not going to be that high just like you did with the last one. Or you can spin the dice, spin the wheel, roll the dice, collect \$200 and go directly to a senator of your choice and skip the administrative adjudication</p>		

Old Business:
Cont'd.

law process all together, I don't recommend that, but it happens all the time. Rob said that where he thought it was the last time was that you were still in the finalizing of the drafting language of it. You were soliciting input from the stakeholders, which is good, as opposed to being blind-sided in the public hearing by people coming at you claiming they hadn't seen it, which will happen. Jerry stated that at this point we've had no response from stakeholders, we need a public hearing. Raquel said that we need a public hearing and an economic impact statement. Rob said that there's a preliminary economic impact assessment. So you'll have that document that says we've considered it, we don't think that it's going to have that effect of \$500,000 or more and then that way you don't have to do the economic impact statement itself, you just do this other one that says we don't think it's going to be necessary. It's all under the rules. We did this with the tele-pharmacy rules or we just followed that same process. So if anybody would like to send me what they think is their final version that everybody is happy with. Jerry said that Vangie was handling most of that and she is not here today. Raquel had a question for Rob...so that draft has several pages that are more like forms, as opposed to the actual "meat and potatoes" of the legislation portion. I know that with other forms we have it separated out so that we can make adjustments without having to go back and change the original. So how do we make reference to those inside the document without having them be submitted as part of the legislation? For instance, if I say, "inside the immunization protocol, refer to the form that deals with screening the patient, whether the patient's appropriate for the immunization". Rob asked if this is for something on your licensure to be allowed to do? Raquel responded right. Rob replied with, so as part of that in the rule, you would say that the pharmacist would complete a board-approved form. That would be all that you would need to do and then that does not have to be attached to the rule. Raquel stated that currently they are appendices. Rob said you might even want to say subject to it being modified at board meetings. I'd have to see your language, but that's a good question to ask. What he'd like to do is hear from somebody

Old Business:
Cont'd.

that says, "here is the complete, ready to rock and roll draft. This is what we want, it's exactly the language that we want, and once I hear that I can take it to the next step for you or walk you through the next step. I tend to do this one step at a time. So the step I'll be stuck on is I don't even know what the final product looks like as far as where you are before going to the public to solicit their comment. And then when you do that, for convenience, you can set the public hearing at a set time following or before a regular board meeting. That way it's already there. We just need to make sure that the public notice includes, at that time, the new rule will also be considered. Zennia said that to take it one step further at the public hearing. You need to make a list of who your key stakeholders should be or if you anticipate any opposition and meet with them. Because like with nursing, the NLC, what we're doing is meeting with all the nursing leaders, associations, just to make sure that we answer any of their concerns because the last thing you want in a public hearing is for someone to oppose. The senators don't like that. Raquel stated that the primary stakeholders, pharmacists on-island and then pharmacy personnel, the medical board because the legislation includes something that they haven't done before, and then we also included public health because they were going to have the immunization electronic database where all the information was read. So at different points in time, all three (3) of those places got copies, but we heard back from none. But do you suggest we make actual meetings? Zennia said yes. She said that in the medical board they have not mentioned anything. Rob concurred. He suggested that the Pharmacy board get on their agenda, that way you know it's done. Jerry said that we need to schedule a meeting with the medical board, DPHSS, and GPHA. He thanked Rob and Zennia for their input.

2. Electronic Prescribing
asked members where we are with this. Raquel said that it's been tabled. Jerry said that we have electronic prescriptions available now, but only for regular prescriptions not any controlled substance. That requires another security feature.
3. Fee Schedule to Senator Terlaje's Office

Old Business:
Cont'd.

Jerry said that she has had our updated fee schedule for some time and I believe she's been brought up to date on the situation. He asked Zennia if she's talked to Senator Terlaje. Zennia said that she has the fee schedule, but her question was with the increase, what does the board plan to use the monies for? So she gave her a sample list of fees that are incurred at HPLO and anticipate that we will be using the money for. Jerry said that he knew that she wanted to move forward with putting the fee schedule through to the legislature and was informed of the current words in the law that limits the revolving fund. Meeting tomorrow to finalize bill. Jerry asked Rob if we were still on track to combine all the boards. Zennia said that Senator Terlaje's suggestion was that if there's a board that's ready to go, she would rather push it through now versus having everything together and will be discussed at meeting tomorrow.

B. Harmon Drugs Investigation

Anthony Camacho is not present. Glenn Carlos was present and asking about his mother's renewal application. Jerry said that her application for renewal and the renewal application for Harmon Drugs Store/Pharmacy are still pending some resolution. Jerry asked Rob if we've heard anything from the lawyer. Rob said that the lawyer is waiting on a hearing officer. Zennia said that this is the first time we've ever done something like this where we have to go out and get a hearing officer. Normally hearing officers are provided by the AG's office, but that's changed. And so now we have to purchase them. We completed the RFQ and we sent it through and now it's a matter of getting quotes for three (3) hearing officers and then we should get someone on board soon. We have several cases that we have to deal with. We have the prosecuting attorney and in order to process cases that we have, we need a hearing officer. Rob said the AG's office should have provided the hearing officer for the various boards. Eventually told that DPHSS can do it themselves or pay for it themselves or his office would get them, but the bill would still go to DPHSS. So he suggested that DPHSS just do it yourselves and so he provided the specs on the scope of service. Zennia said

Old Business:
Cont'd.

that we could wait and fight with the AG until they give us a hearing officer, but we don't want to do that, we want to move with the cases. So we're going to go ahead and purchase it. That will be part of the fee schedule too. Jerry said to Mr. Carlos that as it stands, your mother was represented with a legal draft document, the lawyers handled that, and our lawyer Anthony Camacho is aware of the case, he's read about it and now we're waiting to hear of a hearing officer and they will be notified in writing when it happens. By the HPLO paying for the hearing officer, it's going to be much quicker than if we wait for the AG's office to provide us with one. It's been a roadblock ever since. So nothing's moved on that. Back and forth discussion between Rob and Mr. Carlos (unclear). Rob said that there were documents and asked that you be prepared to respond and you keep popping in here and asking to see the board and ignoring the document which has got all the issues in it and since you're not going to proceed the way I'm asking you to, we'll do it by lawyer, hearing officer, formal notice that the renewal application is going to be denied and tell you what the reasons are and give you your due process. We're done with it. We tried to work it out and have an understanding about how you could make it work, but you didn't seem to want to cooperate. I say you, I meant Adela Carlos. Jerry said that any other option is if she were to agree to the stipulations in the document she was presented with, sign off on it, and then go forward from there. As it is right now, we're preparing to go to court. Mr. Carlos then left meeting. Rob said definition of insanity, doing the same thing over and over again, expecting different results. I don't know why we're even entertaining him. He's not a lawyer, he's her son, son-in-law? He's not a pharmacist. Jerry confirmed, not a lawyer, not a pharmacist. Angie asked, "he was never licensed with us, right?" Rob said he's nowhere qualified to even be a tech. Angie asked, "we never approved his license, right?" Jerry asked, "his license?" Jerry said that he was a technician at one time I think, but it was kind of grand-fathered in, he's not renewed any connection with the board going back a number of years. Rob said that so if that's the case, then he would

Old Business:
Cont'd.

have to do a completely new application. He had essentially been running it in Adela's absence.

C. Review & Renumbering of GBEP Forms

Angie stated that the last time I gave an update, I was going to request for a working session for all of us and then I was absent for some time. I think the last meeting I read the minutes and Zennia asked if there was anything the office could do to help us besides word processing. Rob suggested we meet again and discuss. Because this is not just renumbering, but putting the notary versus the questionnaire, we never quite answered the question of what we wanted. If we wanted to do a questionnaire in place of a notary and to make the questionnaire universal to all of our renewal and new applications. Rob said those are separate concepts. Question of the notary being do we need to make them go to a notary public potentially for renewals as opposed to what Guam law allows and that's a line that just says I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. That'll satisfy it so they don't have to be inconvenienced to go find a notary and pay for a notary. We were leaning towards a questionnaire and then we got stuck because we couldn't come to a consensus of what questions we wanted and then we had to re-word them for renewals versus new. So that's where we're at. Besides Zennia offering help, I don't know what else besides it being just clerical in regard to what we want and then just make lines, put check boxes in our new applications. WE have some drafts. Jerry said we have to look at each document and decide which way to go with them. Angie said we've gotten very far. We've gotten up to application #12 and #22. WE wanted to prioritize our renewal pharmacist and the new out-of-state facility because there's a lot of questions about the application. So we can bring that to the forefront and start with that, but like Rob says it requires a working session to just hammer it out. And we've done three in the history of me taking it over. We've done three working sessions and I think we've gotten pretty far. I have to admit some of the bottleneck is me actually doing our changes in Word, but I think most of our ideas are on paper and it's just a matter of putting lines and

Old Business:
Cont'd.

check boxes, making it pretty, putting the margins and then, this discussion of the questionnaire replacing the notary. So it's a project in progress. Jerry asked do you want to have another working session to formalize everything? Angie said we can have a working session. I'm sorry I didn't schedule one, so I can send out another email and see what people's schedules are and who can come. I'll bring updated copies. Maybe we could have a working session and prioritize what we're going to discuss and make it be the facility application and focus on that. Jerry said we have pretty much of it done. Angie said we have something to start with, we're just tweaking now. So I'll send out an email again about a working session. Jerry said that I'm guessing it wouldn't take that much more time if we just work on the ones that need to be looked at. Angie said it might just be one more and we'll be done. I'll send that email out again to schedule working session for forms and prioritizing the facility application. I will start a dialogue. Rob said that on rule making, I just sent everybody the part of the law that deals with rule making. It's 12 pages and it's in a foreign language, it would may appear that way to you so that if you kind of know what you're looking for, you can kind of go find it. Look for things like hearings, economic impact statement, preliminary cost assessment, in lieu of an economic impact statement. But if you just think back to what we did with tele-pharmacy, there was one change in the law since you did that, can't remember how the law changed after you did what you did. In any event, after you had your public hearing you considered any input and then it has to be circulated around. You send it to the Governor for approval, of course the AG for approval as to legal compliance to the extent that I get involved and I would be able to expedite it, probably come to me, and then after you get ours to the Governor, then after the Governor approves as to policy, you put the package together, including a transcript, that's what the change was, an actual transcript of the public hearing. So you gotta have a court reporter to do a verbatim-type of transcript and then assemble these four, five, or six documents, including proof of publication of the notices of the hearing and any attachments, any comments you may have

<p>Old Business: Cont'd.</p>	<p>received and put it all together, and then it goes to the Legislature. And then the Legislature does something that no other jurisdiction does, they have their own hearing. That I think is new since the last time you did one. So then the committee, Terese Terlaje's subcommittee will have a hearing and they'll bring your people in, you go in and say this is a good thing and explain to Senator's who are reading it as they're walking in the door for the first time, assuming that all goes well, which I assume it would, then the next thing is they'll put that down for a vote somewhere in the next few weeks after that by a full legislature. Once they do it, they approve it. The problem is, it's not a problem, but they're creating it as though it's an attachment to the bill. The bill has to be also signed by the Governor, so the Legislature would attach your rules, after all of that, to a bill and they would pass it and then that goes to the Governor and it gets a public law number and then, at that point, it becomes enforceable and it can go on the compiler's website. A lot of extra steps that Guam puts in, the Legislature keeps thinking it's making it more accessible and it actually complicates it all more. Jerry said we're looking at an extended timeline. Rob's reply, yes, so what should have been 45-90 days from the date of submission of your package to the Legislature becomes 4-5 months. So check your emails for just the law.</p> <p>D. Facility Inspection Report no reports pending. No inspections since the last meeting.</p> <p>Jerry asked to hold off on Old Business to entertain guests in gallery.</p>
<p>VI New Business</p>	<p>Bradley Carter – said that he tried to turn in application yesterday, but was denied because two (2) of his three (3) reference letters did not look like they had original signatures. Marie stated that she was the person who would not accept the signatures and recommended that he come before the board for their approval of the signatures. Mr. Carter showed documents to board to review. Angie asked if we should put his application on the agenda and board was informed that Eva recommended he bring his</p>

New Business:
Cont'd.

application before the board. Angie agreed to have him put on the agenda under New Business, New Applications.

Reviews of Applications – 16 facilities. Jerry suggested that since it's 9:15, we adjust the schedule and look at the applications for individuals and local pharmacies first. It's under New Business, A and we'll get those out of the way. Zennia asked if she could do her report really quick. Really short and sweet.

Review of Applications – APPROVED

1. Cardinal Health 414, LLC
2. Express Scripts Special Distribution Services, Inc.
3. MENO, Bruce C.F.
4. STEGER, Kevin L. (pending communication with applicant)
5. BALTAZAR, Cynia A.
6. RAMOS, Larra McReen B.
7. CARTER, Bradley
8. ASD Specialty Healthcare, LLC
9. ASD Specialty Healthcare, LLC
10. Costco Wholesale Corporation dba Costco Pharmacy #581
11. Integrated Commercialization Solutions, LLC
12. Integrated Commercialization Solutions, LLC
13. Integrated Commercialization Solutions, LLC
14. Integrated Commercialization Solutions, LLC
15. McGuff Company, Inc.
16. Sina Drug, LLC.
17. Sina Drug, LLC dba Onco360
18. TheraCom, LLC
19. UBC Pharmacy, LLC
20. USRC Pharmacy, LLC
21. Vetessa Pharmaceutical, Inc.
22. GARZA, Laura A.
23. ROSARIO, Pretenciana Tiara T.

Senator Terlaje was approached by local pharmacist James Bui concerning the definition of intern in the law. Section 2, 13102 C. I. of Chapter 13 of 25 GAR is asking to amend that section to read:

Pharmacy Intern means any person who has completed his or her first professional year of a course of study in an approved United States college of pharmacy and is licensed and registered with the board as an intern. Previously that had been any person who has completed the junior of their academic year. That harkens back to the day when pharmacy was either a four- or five-year program and now it's a six-year program. Their first professional year is still their third year, isn't it? Angie and Raquel both replied no. Angie asked, so he wants to change the language to read your first professional year and then you can get a license? Jerry said as an intern. Angie said, so your question is, is the third year your professional year? Jerry said if you have a college of pharmacy, your first professional year is considered. Angie said, most of the colleges are four years, so your first year is your professional year. But if you say professional year that covers both, it covers the six-year program and the four-year program. Jerry said there's no four-year programs in the states. Angie and Raquel said yes, they're all four years. Pharmacy school is four years. But if the law's written to say completed your first professional, then that takes care of both programs. Raquel said that at my school, we had to have completed our first year before we could our intern license. Angie said right, which is your first professional year. Which is your first year of pharmacy school, for your four-year pharmacy school. And if you're in a 6-year program, I'm assuming that is where the third year came from maybe that you're talking about because the first two years you're doing pre-requisite stuff and then you get into the formal stuff. Because the language is "junior", nobody uses that anymore. So, the language of completed your first professional year covers any of those two programs. Which is the intention of that. I think it's correct using the terminology first professional year. At this point, shall we just say that the board of Pharmacy is in agreement with it? I guess this is a Rob question. Where do we go from here? Can we stick it in with the immunizing pharmacist law and do it together? I think we have to ask Rob what to do with this. Okay, so we're not doing anything at this point other than referring it to Rob. Because James took it to Terlaje's office, she could put it through, so what are we gonna do? Do we have to do anything? Jerry said she's looking for the board to discuss it. Which is what she should do. She couldn't try

to pass the law without having the board sign off on it. Angie said she supports it.

Jerry said that last evening, the Commission on Healing Arts had a meeting. There are nine boards under the HPLO that includes Medical, Dental, Pharmacy, Nursing, Optometry, EMS, Allied Medical Sciences, Cosmetology, and Social Work. There were five out of the nine, so officially we had a quorum and the object was to nominate and elect, if possible, a Chairman of the Board for that commission, and a Vice Chair, and a Secretary/Treasurer. Because nobody was willing to step up for the board, apparently Nathaniel Berg had expressed interest in being the Chairman of that board. His name was nominated and Mamie Balajadia was one of the nominees for Vice Chair, as well as Darren Burrter. The next meeting is going to be in January and at that point we have to elect individuals. All the various boards should be notified of who has been nominated for what at this point and then next meeting we can vote. I think being a member of that commission is important. Rob has been talking several years to us about how we should meet with the various boards, combine our resources, and try to act as a single unit with all the light things we need to have done. We're on our way to do those things. Zennia compiled a list of how we would spend our monies collected. Various boards like the Board of Pharmacy are in the process of submitting increased fees. This is gonna take a financial impact study and statement before the commission can do much because there's more than \$500,000 worth of expenditures here. Includes subscriptions, annual memberships, journals and publications, this would be for all of the boards, travel to national conferences, research, education on-island, internet, website, investigators, inspectors, prosecuting attorney, conflict counsel, hearing officer, vehicle, transcription services, digitalization, automation, publications, personnel, board stipends, scanners, video cameras, shredder, printers, computers, card machines, testing materials. And these are estimated costs and the total is over \$500,000. So I think the commission is kinda coming together. It's the first time it's been active in many years. It started a couple decades ago and then just kinda fell apart and nothing happened for years, but the Governor wants it reinstated and become functional. Just us getting access to fees we've

VII	HPLO Report	<p>collected in the revolving fund is a big, big issue. We now have a Prosecuting Attorney, Anthony Camacho for the Board of Pharmacy and we're moving forward on some issues and that's where that's at.</p>	HPLO	<p>1. Board Room - As you notice, there's been a lot of activity here at HPLO. So with the closure of Public Health, we're actually housing over 30 employees. So it's gonna get kinda tight; however, the board room is still for your use when it's time for the board meetings. If you have any questions or concerns, just let us know.</p> <p>2. Website – so I did an RFQ on the website and I'm actually waiting for a couple more specs and we're gonna get that out because our website has to go up because it's mandated by law. It's unfortunate that we've gone many, many years without it and I've discussed with Marie because three-fourths of the phone calls and the emails and the visits are all questions about "can you send me an application?"; "can you download the application?"; "what do I need?". So if you have that built in your website, that's gonna take care of a lot of the emails and phone calls. So it's gonna be a good website. I found a sample of it. It's really good. It's nothing like what we have now from Public Health, which is nothing. It's gonna look very similar to the mainland where you have fillable applications, the applicants will be able to go online and do everything and send it to us. The only thing that we can't do is have them pay through the system because we're different. They would still have to go to DOA and pay and then bring their receipts here to us. Raquel asked if we'd be able to verify licenses through the website. Angie asked with the Mangilao closure, has there been a change in our contact phone number or contact email if people have questions about being put on the agenda? Zennia said we still have the same phone numbers, so the only phone numbers that changed was the Public Health. The Mangilao facility staff moved to different locations. We stayed the same. Zennia thanked Jerry for his assistance with Central Public Health Pharmacy because they had to move up to the Northern clinic and they wanted to make sure that they were within the law to</p>
-----	-------------	---	------	---

dispense. Raquel asked if the TB program is dispensing meds from the Public Health Dededo site? Zennia said yes. Marie said the TB program offices are located at the old emergency vehicle bay at Dededo clinic. Zennia said DEA and CSR was also notified. Angie asked, "there was no makeshift pharmacy? They just added services to the pharmacy already there?" Zennia replied yes.

3. Automation – Zennia stated that we're working on specs for automation. We're gonna automate all the records. Her question for Rob was "if we automate, when can we get rid of those records, or do we have to keep them forever and forever?" Rob's said there's a process that DOA's involved in where you have to, there's a label and you go through and identify the contents and you keep it for a certain amount of time and then it can go offsite for a certain amount of time like 3 or 5 years of something. As long as it's accessible. I think it's DOA. There's a process that you have to follow. AG's office may even be involved in proving the archiving. Somebody ought to know that. You gotta have a point-of-contact. I'm sure Public Health has one. They have to archive records all the time. Zennia said I just wanna get rid of papers. Raquel asked how soon do you anticipate this? Zennia said next year. Raquel then said after you do that we're rolling renewals. Angie then said that it's written in our law that it has to be like September. WE have to change our law. Rob then asked, "you don't like September?" Angie said, "no, it's not rolling". Raquel said that everybody renews, all the individuals, all the facilities, all the wholesalers, they all do. So August and September meetings were just crazy. Rob said and the staff is just swamped. Angie said that we need to change the law and I don't think we can do it, right? Rob said that's a good idea. There's different ways you can do it. You can stagger it, you can stagger it by month, you can stagger it by birth date, by alphabetical. Numerous conversations back and forth regarding how to change the renewal dates. Jerry asked that to get away from the end of September date, does that require changing the

		<p>law? Angie said yes. Jerry asked if he could get a list of the phone numbers for Public Health. Specifically who's in which location and phone numbers if they've changed. Zennia said that we can get that from Public Health. Jerry said that he saw it in the paper, but didn't think to cut it out and save it. Marie asked if he remembered when it was so that she could call PDN. Zennia said that it was a pretty lengthy list of services. Jerry asked to send it to all the pharmacies.</p>		
VIII	Other Business	<p>Rob asked Jerry if there was anything else he required of him. Rob and Zennia left the meeting.</p> <p>Jerry said that Eva Aguon called him a couple days ago saying that patients had their prescriptions denied by Specialty Distributors in the mainland, citing different reasons, they didn't want to ship refrigerated items from the states to Guam. Some of them said they didn't want to deal with third-world entities or non-stateside companies and asked if the board had anything to do with it. I knew we had some issues regarding the renewals of certain pharmacies because of lack of applications or incomplete applications. I think all of those have been taken care of. Marie stated that she needs to follow-up on that. She stated that she hasn't had a chance to respond to Jerry and Eva's email regarding ExpressMed, ExpressScripts, or CVS. Marie will follow-up.</p> <p>Angie – next NABP newsletter is February, so if we have any topics to write about the deadline is January 3rd. The last one we put out was earlier in the year so I think it's time to do another. So let her know if you have any topics or announcements, we can make to the community pharmacies. It's a quarterly issue that NABP publishes for us with some national news and they just stick in our local news. In the past we've included new licenses of local pharmacists, all the licenses that we've done, new PIC announcements, topics. Maybe the immunizing pharmacists would be a good announcement to make. Jerry suggested the list of new Pharmacy licensees. Just new local licenses. Marie suggested including the physical address as the new mailing address.</p> <p>Stipend – lengthy discussion. Zennia to follow-up.</p>		

IX	Announcement	Next Meeting – January 16, 2020 at 7:30 a.m.	Board	12/12/19 7:30 a.m.	
X	Adjournment	Recessed at 10:53 a.m.	Board		Recessed

Approved by: _____ Date: _____
Chairperson, GBEP